

Quantifying the Effect of Ankle Posture on the Positions of Bones of the Foot/Ankle **Complex for Injury-Prediction Studies** Chris Smolen¹, Cheryl E. Quenneville^{1,2}

INTRODUCTION

- The ankle is one of the most common sites of injury for an occupant during a frontal vehicular collision [1] and damage to this region can result in a high level of impairment, accompanied by long-term healthcare costs and emotional distress.
- Understanding the factors which cause ankle injury will help in the design of suitable protective systems.
- Risk of ankle injury in different loading scenarios has been studied experimentally and numerically, with most of the emphasis being on the ankle in a neutral posture (e.g. [2]). However, the ankle can assume a wide variety of postures during injurious events.
- A concern with this type of experimental testing is the variability in joint alignment required to control the load path during impact, with fractures occurring in the tibia, talus and calcaneus.
- At the wrist, during falls, the orientation of the scaphoid and lunate has been shown to affect both the location of fracture and fracture load in the radius [3].

PURPOSE

- To determine the effect of ankle posture on the positions of the bones of the foot/ankle, which, in the event of a frontal vehicular collision, may alter the fracture threshold and location.
- To use strain gage data from the ankle loaded in different postures with the intention of generating a finite element model of the ankle.



Figure 1: Test Frame Mounted on CT Scanner Bed: A) Test Frame, B) Ankle Positioner, C) Weights

1. Department of Mechanical Engineering, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada 2. Department of Biomedical Engineering, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada

METHODS

- A CT-compatible test frame (Fig. 1) was devel independently to varying degrees of flexion/ex (Fig. 2) and can apply force to a cadaveric low hanging weights.
- Soft tissues are removed only where necessary gages to the specimen -3 on the calcaneus both the medial talus, the tibiospring and tibionavic the posterior talus, some cartilage is removed,
- The proximal tibia is potted and proper axial a frame so that it is parallel with the CT bed.
- The ankle is attached to the ankle positioner at cycles, in which loads are applied from 0 to 1



Figure 2: Ankle Positioner



Figi Cal

- The postures to be tested are shown in Table ankle positions [4,5].
- A CT scan is taken while the leg is unloaded in leg is loaded from 0 to 100 lbs in 20 lb increm strain readings are acquired. The specimen is leg is then loaded again. This process is perfor repeatability of strain measurements. Another Scans are taken in the loaded configuration so can be quantified and compared to the deflecti This maximum load of 100 lbs was chosen to 1

	EXPECTED RESULTS				
eloped, which can adjust the angles of the ankle xtension, internal/external rotation and inversion/eversion wer leg fixed at the proximal tibia through pulleys and	• It is anticipated that the posture of the ankle will affect the positions of the associated bones, and ultimately the load pathway and injury tolerance of the foot/ankle complex. To our knowledge, this effect has not been previously investigated in the literature				
y, from a 50 th percentile male leg, in order to apply 5 strain one and 2 on the talus bone (Fig. 3). For the gage attached to cular ligaments are to be removed. For the gage attached to , as well as part of the posterior talofibular ligament.	Table 1: Ankle Postures to be Tested				
	Ankle Postures	Eversion	Inversion	Dorsiflexion	External
alignment is ensured. The potted tibia is then fixed to the test		(°)			Rotation (°)
	Neutral	0	0	0	0
at a neutral posture and is subject to two preconditioning 00 lbs in 20 lb increments.	Eversion/ External Rotation	20	n/a	U	5
	Combined Inversion/ External	n/a	20	0	5
	Rotation Combined Dorsiflexion/ Inversion	n/a	20	20	0
E)					
	 FUTURE WORK The locations of the attached strain gauges will be recorded in the CT scans so that a finite element model of the ankle in various positions can be developed and validated. 				
ure 3: Strain Gage Locations: A) Lateral Calcaneus, B) Medial caneus, C) Plantar Calcaneus, D) Medial Talus, E) Posterior Talus	• The results from this study will be useful for research in determining injury limits of the ankle and for developing postural guidelines to minimize injury.				
1. These postures correspond to some of the most vulnerable					
	REFERENC	CES			
n each of the postures (Table 1). Then in each posture, the nents (minimizing inertial effects due to rapid loading) and removed from the ankle positioner and then reattached. The rmed a total of 4 times for each posture to ensure CT scan of the ankle is taken while it is loaded at 100 lbs. that any changes in the positions of bones due to loading	 Morris, et al., Stapp Car C., 1997. Funk, et al., J. Biomech. Eng., 2002. Troy and Grabiner, J. Biomech., 2007 King, Annu. Rev. Biomed. Eng., 2001. Lauge-Hansen, Ama. Arch. Surg., 1950. Heiner and Brown, J. Biomech., 2001. 				
ions of the bones in the finite element model of the ankle.	AWKNOLEDGEMENTS				
limit stresses to below failure levels [2,6].	This research is supported by McMaster University, The Ontario				

• The difference in the position of the bones between the neutral and repositioned ankle will be quantified by the change in location of the center of gravity, as well as the change in absolute distance of any point.

Graduate Scholarship (OGS), The Canada Foundation for Innovation (CFI), The Ontario Research Fund (ORF) and The Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC).